

كلية: العلوم و الآداب بشروره

برنامج: علوم الحاسب

نموذج تقرير رصد مؤشرات جودة أداء البرنامج

Monitoring Report on the Program Performance Indicators for the academic year 1438/1437

		1438 / 1473							
Standard المعيار	Program KPIs مؤشرات أداء البرنامج	Actual Benchmark رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي	Target Benchmark القيمة المستهدفة	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark lhablic libel	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر			
The first Standard: mission, goals and objectives	The decision to adopt and document the vision and mission of the program.	available	Adoption decision	available					
	The proportion of beneficiaries' satisfaction of students, faculty members and the labor market on the vision and mission of the program.	80 %	80 % annually	Avg=80%					
	The proportion of alignment and agreement between the program mission and the faculty mission	100 %	90 % annually	Avg=80%					
	The proportion of decisions taken by reference to the program mission.	83 %	100% annually	Avg=80%					



		1.00	, 1170	الريوروات بودور بالمراجر المراجر المرا			
Standard المعيار	Program KPIs مؤشرات أداء البرنامج		رصد المؤشر	Target Benchmark القيمة المستهدفة	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark lhablic libel	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر
The second Standard: Program Management	Documents that define the policies and powers (roles and responsibilities)	Avail	able	Documents available	Document s available		
	The representation of women on the department council and internal Committees from the reality of the department council meetings. the proportion of satisfaction of faculty members and administrators for administrative and academic	75 %	%	30 % annually 80 % annually	75%		
The third Standard: quality assurance management of the	the overall assessment of the quality of student learning experiences in the program(the	93.86 Male Student First Semeste r	98.5 Female Student First Semeste r	80 % annually	3.6/5		



	1430 / 14/3				عرير رصد موسرات جوده اداع البردامي سعام الجامعي			
Standard المعيار	Program KPIs مؤشرات أداء البرنامج	ِ للعام الحالي	Actual Benchmark رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي		Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark lhablis libertal	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر	
program	median estimate of students on a scale annual appreciation of five points for the final year students	95.94 Male Student Second Semeste r	96.52 Female Student Second Semester					
	the percentage of students who were polled during the year to assess the performance of the courses and staff members	57.38 Male Student 1:9.6 Male Student	57.92 Female Student 1:25.3 Female Student	80%	Avg= 100%			
The fourth Standard: Learning and Teaching	The percentage of students to faculty (full-time or equivalent) at the level of teaching.	1:9.6 Male Student 1:15.4 Ave	1:25.3 Female Student erage	1:25 experimental courses	1:6			
	The percentage of students to faculty at the program level.	1:9.6 Male Student 1:15.4 Ave	Male Female		1:6			
	Satisfaction of recruiters (Employing agencies) for professional and personal skills of the graduates of the program (average year).	Not performed		80%	40%			



		1450 /	14/3	عرير رعد موسرات جوده ۱۵۱۹ البردامي سام الجامعي			
Standard المعيار	Program KPIs مؤشرات أداء البرنامج	Actual Benchmark رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي		Target Benchmark القيمة المستهدفة	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark المقارنة	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر
	The overall Student evaluations (estimation) for the quality of program courses (average evaluation (estimation) of students on a scale of five points for the overall evaluation of the courses).	4.16 83.2 Male Student 3.7 75.8 % Ave		Not less than 80 % annually	4.07/5		
	The proportion of faculty who hold doctoral qualifications validated.	66.7% Male Student 47.4 Avera	25% Female Section ge	75%	Avg=37%		
	The percentage of students entering the program who have successfully completed the first year.	40% 53.85% Male Female Student Student 47 % Average		Not less than 80 % annually	65%		
	The percentage of students entering the undergraduate program who have completed the minimum period.	No graduate s 9.26% Ave	18.15% Female Student rage	Not less than 70 % annually	45%		
	The proportion of graduates from undergraduate	NaN		Not less 30 than %	Avg=30% Avg=10% Avg=60%		



		1436 / 14/3	عرير رعد موسرات جوده اداع البرداسي عدم الجامعي				
Standard	Program KPIs مؤشرات أداء البرنامج	Actual Benchmark رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي	Target Benchmark القيمة المستهدفة	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark المقارنة	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر	
E: (A)	programs who are in a period of 6 months of graduation: i. Found jobs ii. Enrolled in the Graduate iii. Did not seek the employment or Graduate	No odvoje istrativo	Not less 10 than % Not more than 10%				
Fifth Standard: Management of Student Affairs and Support Services	The percentage of students to administrative staff. Student evaluation for career and academic guidance(Avera ge estimates of the extent of appropriate Psychological and vocational guidance On of annual scale of five points for the final year	No administrative staff in male section 1:177% in female section % 74	Not less than 80 % annually	86%			
	students). Percentage of students participating in extra-curricular activities.	% 35	50%	20%			
Sixth Standard: Learning	Evaluation of library services for students (average	38.4 %	Notless than 75%	76%			



		سرير رسد موسرات جوده ۱۰۰۱ مرسم سعم اجمعي					
Standard المعيار	Program KPIs مؤشرات أداء	Actual Be		Target Benchmark القيمة المستهدفة	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark lbalication	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر
Resources	estimates of the extent of suitable library services on an annual scale of five points for the final year students).						
	The number of books in the library relative to the number of students.	1:1. In female 1:1 In male s	section .6	1:10	3:1		
Seventh standard: Facilities and	The number of computers available for each student.	1:1.27 Male Student 1:63 Avera	1:2 Female Student	1:25	2:1		
Equipment	The overall rate of the extent of suitable facilities and equipment through a poll of staff members.	51%	-	70%	79%		
	the proportion of students satisfaction rate for classrooms and laboratories	48%		80%	73%		



		1430 / 14/3	عرير رعد موسرات جوده اداع البردامي سام الجامعي و				
Standard	Program KPIs مؤشرات أداء البرنامج	Actual Benchmark رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي	Target Benchmark القيمة المستهدفة	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark المقارنة الخارجية	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر	
Eighth Standard: financial planning and management	Satisfaction rate of faculty members for the financial management system and the adequacy of the budget available for the program.	27 %	80%	70%			
Ninth Standard: recruitment	The proportion of faculty who have left the program in the previous year for reasons other than retirement due to age.	5 %	Not more than 10 % annually	5.5%			
	The proportion of faculty participating in professional development activities.	1:1	1:1	0			
Tenth Standard: Research	Number of publications in scientific journals or presented at conferences for each member of the faculty fulltime or equivalent.	1:10	1:1	1			
	The proportion of faculty (full-time) who have at least one search	0					



تقرير رصد مؤشرات جودة اداء البرنامج للعام الجامعي 1473 / 1438

Standard المعيار	Program KPIs ومؤشرات أداء مؤشرات البرنامج	Actual Benchmark رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي	Target Benchmark ilians llange	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark المقارنة	New Target Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر
	arbitrator in the previous year? The number of working papers or reports submitted to the conferences over the past year for each of the faculty fulltime or equivalent.	0				
Eleventh Standard : Community Service	The number of community education programs provided by the program, and the consulting and community service activities.	10	6	1		
	the proportion of faculty members and other staff who have provided community service activities					

a-Analysis (list strengths and recommendations):حلل الجدول مع ذكر نقاط القوة والضعف

First Standard:

The standard has four indicators, three were achieved, and the fourth is not investigated, where 100% is targeted and 83% is less than expected.

Second Standard:

This standard has three indicators, the first indicator is achieved but the second and the third indicators are far from



تقرير رصد مؤشرات جودة اداء البرنامج للعام الجامعي 1473 / 1438

			<u> </u>			.
Standard	Program KPIs	Actual Benchmark	Target	Internal	Exter	New Target
المعيار	مؤشرات أداء	رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي	Benchmark	Benchmar	nal	Benchmark
	البرنامج		القيمة المستهدفة	k	Bench	المستهدف
				المقارنة	mark	الجديد للمؤشر
				الدخلية	المقارنة	
					الخارجية	
					الحارجيه	

the desired.

Third Standard:

The standard has two indicators, the first is achieved and the second is not achieved.

Fourth standard:

This standard has eight indicators four of these are less than expected. The recommendation are:

- 1) To give more efforts in the teaching process and encourage students to achieve the required rate (80% and 75% observed).
- 2) Activating the graduate unit by the college administration so that we can communicate with graduate students and measure some of the required indicators.

Fifth Standard:

All the indicators of the fifth standard are acceptable and we recommend activating the computer club.

Sixth Standard:

The standard has two indicators, one of them is verified and the other is not recommended. We recommend more guidance for the students to get out of the library, whether electronic or paper, and to address the college administration to increase the efficiency and performance of the library.

Seventh Standard:

The standard has two indicators, one of them is verified and the other is not recommended. We recommend to address the college administration to increase the facilities and equipment.

Eighth Standard:

The standard has an indicator that shows dissatisfaction of faculty members from the financial system. We recommend that the College Administration communicate with faculty members to discuss the reasons.

Ninth Standard:



تقرير رصد مؤشرات جودة اداء البرنامج للعام الجامعي 1473 / 1438

			- 1	= -		
Standard	Program KPIs	Actual Benchmark	Target	Internal	Exter	New Target
المعيار	مؤشرات أداء	رصد المؤشر للعام الحالي	Benchmark	Benchmar	nal	Benchmark
	البرنامج		القيمة المستهدفة	k	Bench	المستهدف
				المقارنة	mark	الجديد للمؤشر
				الدخلية	المقارنة	
					الخارجية	

The rate of leaving the program is large. Therefore, this threatens the quality of the program. We recommend the department and the college administration to put and urgent plan to face this problem.

Tenth Standard:

Members are still interested in publishing to their universities, which weakens and reduces the number of research papers published on behalf of the Najran University. Therefore, we recommend the College Administration to set an appropriate method of encourage staff members to publish on behalf of the university.

Eleventh Standard:

This standard has been assigned new indicators in this new form. Therefore, the program has not measured these indicators for year 2016-2017.

انكر أولويات التحسين b- List priorities for improvement

- 1) It is important to take all decisions for the department based on the mission of the department or program.
- 2) We recommend increasing male and female members of the PhD holders in the program.
- 3) Establishment of an administrative structure of the program.
- 4) Encourage students to evaluate the program and make them aware of the importance of this assessment.
- 5) Activation of Alumni Unit in the college.
- 6) Motivate students to participate in extracurricular activities.

c-Put the Action Plan on Table

ضع خطة التحسين في جدول موضحا تاريخ بدء وتاريخ نهاية الاجراء و مسؤول التنفيذ ومسؤول الاشراف.

النشاط/ الاجراء Action/Activity	تاريخ البدء Start date	تاريخ الانتهاء End Date	مسؤول التنفيذ Person in charge	مسؤول الأشراف Supervisor	النواتج المتوقعة Expected outcomes
Decisions for the department based on the mission of the	November 2017	November 2018	Department Council + Program	Program Coordinator	All the decisions for the department



170 / 17/6				100 / 11/0	الرير رات موسرات بوده ۱۰ ، بردمي سمام ، بمعني				
Standard المعيار		حالي مؤشرات		ual Benchmark الموشر للعام ال	Target Benchmark القيمة المستهدفة	Internal Benchmar k المقارنة الدخلية	Exter nal Bench mark المقارنة لخارجية	Benchmark المستهدف الجديد للمؤشر	
department of program					Coordinator			based on the mission of the department or program	
Increasing fema members of the I holders in the program.	PhD	Start of the academic y 2017-201	year	End of the academic year 2017-2018	College Administration + Program Coordinator	Prograr Coordina	n	Increase of PhD female members from 2 to 4	
Establishment of administrative structure of the program	e ne	Start of t academic y 2017-20	year		Department Council	Prograr Coordina			
Encourage stude to evaluate the program and ma them aware of importance of t assessment	e ake the his	Start of t academic y 2017-20	year	End of the first semester of the academic year 2017-2018	Faculty members and academic guidance members	Quality Coordinat		The participation reaches 90%	
increasing ma members of the l holders in the program.	PhD	Start of the academic y 2017-201	year	End of the academic year 2017-2018	College Administration + Program Coordinator	Progran Coordina	n	Increase of PhD male members at least by one	
Motivate student participate in extracurricula activities	1	Start of the academic y 2017-201	year	End of the academic year 2017-2018	Faculty members and academic guidance members	Academ guidanc member	e	Reach 30-40%.	